Introduction to Stochastic Interpolants

David Landry

Arches Weekly Meeting 2024-07-08



What is it

A generative model that uses Deep Neural Networks to learn the **flow** that goes from one distribution to another

This is attractive for **conditional generative problems**



Interpolating an MNIST 3 into and MNIST 8 using stochastic interpolants

Motivation

I study Stochastic Interpolants in the perspective of weather forecast postprocessing

Raw Ensemble Forecast -> Calibrated Weather Forecasts

Overview

- Build a basic SI
- Two sampling strategies
- Final notes

Let's build a Stochastic Interpolant

Build a stochastic interpolation model

Say we want to move samples from distribution ρ_0 to ρ_1

Interpolation function

If \textbf{x}_{0} and \textbf{x}_{1} are samples from ρ_{0} and $\rho_{1,}$ our interpolation function could be

$$x_t = \alpha(t)x_0 + \beta(t)x_1 + \gamma(t)z$$

where z is normal random noise.

 α , β and γ are a trio that define our interpolation



Approximate the probability flow

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{empirical loss} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot (\partial_{t} I(t^{i}, x_{0}^{i}, x_{1}^{i}) + \dot{\gamma}(t^{i}) z^{i}) \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{sampler} \\ \frac{d}{dt} X_{t} = b(t, X_{t}) \end{array}$$

A solver

Choose between a first-order and second order solver

Denoising diffusion model community has custom solvers for some tasks

$$egin{aligned} ext{Slope}_{ ext{ideal}} &= rac{\Delta y}{h} \ \Delta y &= h(ext{Slope}_{ ext{ideal}}) \ x_{i+1} &= x_i + h, \, y_{i+1} = y_i + \Delta y \ y_{i+1} &= y_i + h ext{Slope}_{ ext{ideal}} \ y_{i+1} &= y_i + rac{1}{2}h(ext{Slope}_{ ext{left}} + ext{Slope}_{ ext{right}}) \ y_{i+1} &= y_i + rac{h}{2}(f(x_i,y_i) + f(x_i + h, y_i + hf(x_i,y_i))) \end{aligned}$$



Two sampling strategies



Two sampling strategies: Ordinary Differential Equations vs Stochastic Differential Equations

The SDE case

- We now need to learn two models fit on two different losses
- We also need a new function ε(t) which will determine how much noise is applied during sampling

$$\text{stochastic sampling (SDE)} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \underset{i=1}{\overset{n}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot (\partial_{t}I(t^{i}, x_{0}^{i}, x_{1}^{i}) + \dot{\gamma}(t^{i})z^{i}) \\ \underset{i=1}{\overset{n}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \underset{i=1}{\overset{\text{sampler}}{\overset{dX_{t}}{=} b(t, X_{t})dt} \\ -\frac{\epsilon(t)}{\gamma(t)}\eta_{z}(t, X_{t})dt \\ +\sqrt{2\epsilon(t)}dW_{t} \end{array}$$

The SDE case

- We now need to learn two models fit on two different losses
- We also need a new function ε(t) which will determine how much noise is applied during sampling

$$\text{stochastic sampling (SDE)} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2b(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot (\partial_{t}I(t^{i}, x_{0}^{i}, x_{1}^{i}) + \dot{\gamma}(t^{i})z^{i}) \\ \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} \underset{n = 1}{\overset{n = 1}{\sum}} |\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i})|^{2} - 2\eta_{z}(t^{i}, x_{t^{i}}^{i}) \cdot z^{i} \end{array} \xrightarrow{}$$

Choice of ε

- Mathematically attractive to have it equal to $\gamma(t)$
 - There are some problems for sampling when γ is very close to zero.
- In practice the authors often set it to a constant
- If $\varepsilon(t)=0$, we recover the deterministic case
- When $\varepsilon(t)$ increases, we need more steps during sampling



The choice of $\varepsilon(t)$

Should I use ODE or SDE?

• SDEs are a lot slower to sample (in the 1000s iterations) but seem to give better results





Final notes

Mirror interpolants

What if ρ_{0} and ρ_{1} are the same distribution?





- Only need to learn η_z Motivation?

One-sided interpolant

- What if it is possible to sample from ρ_0 ?
- ρ_0 could be a normal distribution



Relationship with DDIMs and SBDMs

- Relationship to Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models (DDIMs) and Score-Based Diffusion models (SBDMs)
- We get something very close by using a one-sided interpolant with a normal ρ_0 distribution
- A difference is that the SI is a normal distribution at t=0, while diffusion models are normal at t=∞
 - This poses mathematical difficulties which I will let smarter people argue about

References

Albergo, M. S., Boffi, N. M. & Vanden-Eijnden, E. **Stochastic Interpolants: A Unifying Framework for Flows and Diffusions.** Preprint at <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.08797</u> (2023).

Ma, N. et al. SiT: Exploring Flow and Diffusion-based Generative Models with Scalable Interpolant Transformers. (2024) <u>doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2401.08740</u>.

1.